arXiv:1608.07350v1 [math.NT] 26 Aug 2016

Extensions of local fields and elementary symmetric polynomials

Kevin Keating
Department of Mathematics
University of Florida
Gainesville, FL 32611
USA

keating@ufl.edu

August 29, 2016

Abstract

Let K be a local field whose residue field has characteristic p and let L/K be a finite separable totally ramified extension of degree $n = up^\nu$. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ denote the K-embeddings of L into a separable closure K^{sep} of K. For $1 \le h \le n$ let $e_h(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ denote the hth elementary symmetric polynomial in n variables, and for $\alpha \in L$ set $E_h(\alpha) = e_h(\sigma_1(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_n(\alpha))$. Set $j = \min\{v_p(h), \nu\}$. We show that for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $E_h(\mathcal{M}_L^r) \subset \mathcal{M}_K^{\lceil (i_j + hr)/n \rceil}$, where i_j is the jth index of inseparability of L/K. In certain cases we also show that $E_h(\mathcal{M}_L^r)$ is not contained in any higher power of $\mathcal{M}_K$.

1 The problem

Let K be a field which is complete with respect to a discrete valuation v_K. Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be the ring of integers of K and let $\mathcal{M}_K$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$. Assume that the residue field $\overline{K} = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathcal{M}_K$ of K is a perfect field of characteristic p. Let K^{sep} be a separable closure of K, and let L/K be a finite totally ramified subextension of K^{sep}/K of degree $n = up^\nu$, with $p \nmid u$. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ denote the K-embeddings of L into K^{sep}. For $1 \le h \le n$ let $e_h(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ denote the hth elementary symmetric polynomial in n variables, and define $E_h : L \to K$ by setting $E_h(\alpha) = e_h(\sigma_1(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_n(\alpha))$ for $\alpha \in L$. We are interested in the relation between $v_L(\alpha)$ and $v_K(E_h(\alpha))$. In particular, for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ we would like to compute the value of

$$g_h(r) = \min\{v_K(E_h(\alpha)) : \alpha \in \mathcal{M}_L^r\}.$$

The following proposition shows that $g_h(r)$ is a well-defined integer:

Proposition 1.1 *Let L/K be a totally ramified extension of degree n. Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let h satisfy $1 \leq h \leq n$. Then $E_h(\mathcal{M}_L^r) \subset \mathcal{M}_K^{\lceil hr/n \rceil}$ and $E_h(\mathcal{M}_L^r) \neq \{0\}$.*

Proof: For the first claim we observe that if $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_L^r$ then $v_L(E_h(\alpha)) \geq hr$, and hence $v_K(E_h(\alpha)) \geq hr/n$. To prove the second claim let $A = L \otimes_K K^{sep}$ and define $\tilde{E}_h : A \to K^{sep}$ as follows. For $\beta \in A$ define $T_\beta : A \to A$ by $T_\beta(x) = \beta x$ for $x \in A$. Then T_β is a K^{sep}-linear map. Let

$$\det(X \cdot \mathrm{id}_A - T_\beta) = X^n - c_1 X^{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} c_{n-1} X + (-1)^n c_n$$

be the characteristic polynomial of T_β, and set $\tilde{E}_h(\beta) = c_h$. Since L/K is separable we have an isomorphism of K^{sep}-algebras $A \cong (K^{sep})^n$. It follows that $\tilde{E}_h$ is onto. There is an embedding of K-algebras $i : L \to A$ defined by $i(\alpha) = \alpha \otimes 1$ for $\alpha \in L$. It follows from the definitions that $\tilde{E}_h \circ i = E_h$.

Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a basis for L over K. Then $\{v_1 \otimes 1, \ldots, v_n \otimes 1\}$ is a basis for A over K^{sep}. For $x_i \in K^{sep}$ define

$$F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \tilde{E}_h(v_1 \otimes x_1 + \cdots + v_n \otimes x_n).$$

Then F is a degree-h form on $(K^{sep})^n$. Furthermore, since $\tilde{E}_h$ is onto, F in nontrivial. Since K is an infinite field there are $d_i \in K$ such that $F(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \neq 0$. Set $\alpha = d_1 v_1 + \cdots + d_n v_n$. Then $\alpha \in L$ and

$$E_h(\alpha) = \tilde{E}_h(\alpha \otimes 1) = F(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \neq 0.$$

Let π_K be a uniformizer for K. Then for t sufficiently large we have $\pi_K^t \alpha \in \mathcal{M}_L^r$ and $E_h(\pi_K^t \alpha) = \pi_K^{ht} E_h(\alpha) \neq 0$. Hence $E_h(\mathcal{M}_L^r) \neq \{0\}$. □

Since L/K is totally ramified we have

$$v_K(E_n(\alpha)) = v_K(\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(\alpha)) = v_L(\alpha),$$

and hence $g_n(r) = r$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. The map $E_1 = \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}$ is also well-understood, at least when L/K is a Galois extension of degree p (see [7, V §3, Lemma 4] or [1, III, Prop. 1.4]).

Proposition 1.2 *Let L/K be a totally ramified extension of degree n and let $\mathcal{M}_L^d$ be the different of L/K. Then for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $E_1(\mathcal{M}_L^r) = \mathcal{M}_K^{\lfloor (d+r)/n \rfloor}$. Therefore $g_1(r) = \lfloor (d+r)/n \rfloor$.*

Proof: Since $E_1(\mathcal{M}_L^r)$ is a nonzero fractional ideal of K we have $E_1(\mathcal{M}_L^r) = \mathcal{M}_K^s$ for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 7 in [7, III §3] we have

$$\mathcal{M}_L^{d+r} \subset \mathcal{O}_L \cdot \mathcal{M}_K^s = \mathcal{M}_L^{ns}$$
$$\mathcal{M}_L^{d+r} \not\subset \mathcal{O}_L \cdot \mathcal{M}_K^{s+1} = \mathcal{M}_L^{n(s+1)}.$$

It follows that $d + r \geq ns$ and $d + r < n(s+1)$, and hence that $s = \lfloor (d+r)/n \rfloor$. □

In this paper we determine a lower bound for $g_h(r)$ which depends on the indices of inseparability of L/K. When $h = p^j$ with $0 \leq j \leq \nu$ and $\overline{K}$ is large enough we show that $g_h(r)$ is equal to this lower bound. This leads to a formula for $g_{p^j}(r)$ which can be expressed in terms of a generalization of the different of L/K (see Remark 5.4).

In sections 2 and 3 we prove some preliminary results involving symmetric polynomials. The main focus is on expressing monomial symmetric polynomials in terms of elementary symmetric polynomials. In section 4 we prove our lower bound for $g_h(r)$. In section 5 we show that $g_h(r)$ is equal to this lower bound in some special cases.

2 Symmetric polynomials and cycle digraphs

Let $n \geq 1$, let $w \geq 1$, and let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be a partition of w. We view $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ as a multiset of positive integers such that the sum $\Sigma(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ of the elements of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is equal to w. The cardinality of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is denoted by $|\boldsymbol{\lambda}|$. For $k \geq 1$ we let $k*\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be the partition of kw which is the multiset sum of k copies of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, and we let $k\cdot\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be the partition of kw obtained by multiplying the parts of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ by k. If $|\boldsymbol{\lambda}| \leq n$ let $m_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ be the monomial symmetric polynomial in n variables associated to $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. For $1 \leq h \leq n$ let $e_h(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ denote the hth elementary symmetric polynomial in n variables.

Let $r \geq 1$ and let $\phi(X) = a_r X^r + a_{r+1}X^{r+1} + \cdots$ be a power series with generic coefficients a_i. For a partition $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_h\}$ whose parts satisfy $\mu_i \geq r$ set $a_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = a_{\mu_1}a_{\mu_2}\ldots a_{\mu_h}$. Then for $1 \leq h \leq n$ we have

$$e_h(\phi(X_1), \ldots, \phi(X_n)) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} a_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} m_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(X_1, \ldots, X_n), \tag{2.1}$$

where the sum ranges over all partitions $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ with h parts, all of which are $\geq r$. By the fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials there is $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that $m_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \psi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$. In this section we use a theorem of Kulikauskas and Remmel [6] to compute certain coefficients of the polynomials $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$.

The formula of Kulikauskas and Remmel can be expressed in terms of tilings of a certain type of digraph. We say that a directed graph Γ is a cycle digraph if it is a disjoint union of finitely many directed cycles of length ≥ 1. We denote the vertex set of Γ by $V(\Gamma)$, and we define the sign of Γ to be $\mathrm{sgn}(\Gamma) = (-1)^{w-c}$, where $w = |V(\Gamma)|$ and c is the number of cycles that make up Γ.

Let Γ be a cycle digraph with $w \geq 1$ vertices and let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be a partition of w. A $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$-tiling of Γ is a set S of subgraphs of Γ such that

1. Each $\gamma \in S$ is a directed path of length ≥ 0.
2. The collection $\{V(\gamma) : \gamma \in S\}$ forms a partition of the set $V(\Gamma)$.
3. The multiset $\{|V(\gamma)| : \gamma \in S\}$ is equal to $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be another partition of w. A $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tiling of Γ is an ordered pair (S, T), where S is a $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$-tiling of Γ and T is a $\boldsymbol{\mu}$-tiling of Γ. Let Γ' be another cycle digraph with

w vertices and let (S', T') be a $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tiling of Γ'. An isomorphism from (Γ, S, T) to (Γ', S', T') is an isomorphism of digraphs $\theta : \Gamma \to \Gamma'$ which carries S onto S' and T onto T'. Say that (S, T) is an admissible $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tiling of Γ if (Γ, S, T) has no nontrivial automorphisms. Say that the $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tilings (S, T) and (S', T') of Γ are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism from (Γ, S, T) to (Γ, S', T'). Let $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\Gamma)$ denote the number of isomorphism classes of admissible $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tilings of Γ.

Let $w \geq 1$ and let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}$ be partitions of w. Set

$$d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} = (-1)^{|\boldsymbol{\lambda}|+|\boldsymbol{\mu}|} \cdot \sum_{\Gamma} \operatorname{sgn}(\Gamma) \eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\Gamma), \tag{2.2}$$

where the sum is over all isomorphism classes of cycle digraphs Γ with w vertices. Since $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ we have $d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. Kulikauskas and Remmel [6, Th. 1(ii)] proved the following:

Theorem 2.1 *Let $n \geq 1$, let $w \geq 1$, and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be a partition of w with at most n parts. Let $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ be the unique element of $\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that $m_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \psi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$. Then*

$$\psi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} \cdot X_{\lambda_1} X_{\lambda_2} \ldots X_{\lambda_k},$$

where the sum is over all partitions $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}$ of w such that $\lambda_i \leq n$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

The remainder of this section is devoted to computing the values of $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\Gamma)$ and $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ in some special cases.

Proposition 2.2 *Let $w \geq 1$, let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}$ be partitions of w, and let Γ be a directed cycle of length w. Assume that Γ has a $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$-tiling S which is unique up to isomorphism, and that $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma, S)$ is trivial. Similarly, assume that Γ has a $\boldsymbol{\mu}$-tiling T which is unique up to isomorphism, and that $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma, T)$ is trivial. Then $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\Gamma) = w$.*

Proof: For $0 \leq i < w$ let S_i be the rotation of S by i steps. Then the isomorphism classes of $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tilings of Γ are represented by (S_i, T) for $0 \leq i < w$. Since $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma, T)$ is trivial, all these tilings are admissible. □

Proposition 2.3 *Let a, b, c, ℓ, m, w be positive integers such that $\ell a = mb + c = w$ and $b \neq c$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be the partition of w consisting of ℓ copies of a, let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be the partition of w consisting of m copies of b and 1 copy of c, and let Γ be a directed cycle of length w. Then $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\Gamma) = a$.*

Proof: The cycle digraph Γ has a $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$-tiling S which us unique up to isomorphism, and a $\boldsymbol{\mu}$-tiling T which is unique up to isomorphism. For $0 \leq i < a$ let S_i be the rotation of S by i steps. Then the isomorphism classes of $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tilings of Γ are represented by (S_i, T) for $0 \leq i < a$. Since $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma, T)$ is trivial, all these tilings are admissible. □

Proposition 2.4 *Let b, c, m, w be positive integers such that $mb + c = w$ and $b \neq c$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be the partition of w consisting of 1 copy of w and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be the partition of w consisting of m copies of b and 1 copy of c. Then $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} = (-1)^{w+m+1}w$.*

Proof: If the cycle digraph Γ has a $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$-tiling then Γ consists of a single cycle of length w. Hence by (2.2) we get $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} = (-1)^{w+m+1}\eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\Gamma)$. It follows from Proposition 2.3 that $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\Gamma) = w$. Therefore $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} = (-1)^{w+m+1}w$. □

Proposition 2.5 *Let a, b, ℓ, m, w be positive integers such that $\ell a = mb = w$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be the partition of w consisting of ℓ copies of a, let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be the partition of w consisting of m copies of b, and let Γ be a directed cycle of length w.*

(a) The number of isomorphism classes of $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tilings of Γ is $\gcd(a, b)$.

(b) Let (S, T) be a $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tiling of Γ. Then the order of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma, S, T)$ is $\gcd(\ell, m)$.

Proof: (a) Identify $V(\Gamma)$ with $\mathbb{Z}/w\mathbb{Z}$ and consider the translation action of $b\mathbb{Z}/w\mathbb{Z}$ on $(\mathbb{Z}/w\mathbb{Z})/(a\mathbb{Z}/w\mathbb{Z})$. The isomorphism classes of $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tilings of Γ correspond to the orbits of this action, and these orbits correspond to cosets of $a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} = \gcd(a, b) \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{Z}$.

(b) The automorphisms of (Γ, S, T) are rotations of Γ by m steps, where m is a multiple of both a and b. Hence the number of automorphisms is $w/\operatorname{lcm}(a, b)$, which is easily seen to be equal to $\gcd(\ell, m)$. □

The following proposition generalizes the second part of [6, Th. 6].

Proposition 2.6 *Let a, b, ℓ, m, w be positive integers such that $\ell a = mb = w$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be the partition of w consisting of ℓ copies of a and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be the partition of w consisting of m copies of b. Set $u = \gcd(a, b)$ and $v = \gcd(\ell, m)$. Then $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} = (-1)^{w-v+\ell+m}\binom{u}{v}$. In particular, if $u < v$ then $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} = 0$.*

Proof: Set $i = a/u$ and $j = b/u$. Then $m = vi$ and $\ell = vj$. Let Γ be a cycle digraph which has an admissible $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tiling, and let Γ_0 be one of the cycles which makes up Γ. Then the length of Γ_0 is divisible by $\operatorname{lcm}(a, b) = uij$. Suppose Γ_0 has length $k \cdot uij$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0$ be the partition of $kuij$ consisting of kj copies of $a = ui$, and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0$ be the partition of $kuij$ consisting of ki copies of $b = uj$. Then by Proposition 2.5(b) every $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)$-tiling of Γ_0 has automorphism group of order $\gcd(ki, kj) = k$. Since Γ has an admissible $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tiling we must have $k = 1$. Therefore Γ consists of v cycles, each of length uij. By Proposition 2.5(a) the number of isomorphism classes of $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)$-tilings of a uij-cycle Γ_0 is $\gcd(a, b) = u$. An admissible $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tiling of Γ consists of v nonisomorphic $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)$-tilings of uij-cycles. Hence the number of isomorphism classes of admissible $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tilings of Γ is $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\Gamma) = \binom{u}{v}$. Hence by (2.2) we get $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} = (-1)^{w-v+\ell+m}\binom{u}{v}$. □

3 Some subrings of $\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$

Let $n \geq 1$. In some cases we can get information about the coefficients $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ which appear in the formula for $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ given in Theorem 2.1 by working directly with the ring $\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$. In this section we define a family of subrings of $\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$. We then study the p-adic properties of the coefficients $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ by showing that for certain partitions $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ the polynomial $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is an element of one of these subrings.

For $k \geq 0$ define a subring R_k of $\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ by

$$R_k = \mathbb{Z}[X_1^{p^k}, \ldots, X_n^{p^k}] + p\mathbb{Z}[X_1^{p^{k-1}}, \ldots, X_n^{p^{k-1}}] + \cdots + p^k\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_n].$$

We can characterize R_k as the set of $F \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that for $1 \leq i \leq k$ there exists $F_i \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that

$$F(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \equiv F_i(X_1^{p^i}, \ldots, X_n^{p^i}) \pmod{p^{k+1-i}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.1 *Let $k, \ell \geq 0$ and let $F \in R_k$. Then $p^\ell F \in R_{k+\ell}$ and $F^{p^\ell} \in R_{k+\ell}$.*

Proof: The first claim is clear. To prove the second claim with $\ell = 1$ we note that for $1 \leq i \leq k$ it follows from (3.1) that

$$F(X_1, \ldots, X_n)^p \equiv F_i(X_1^{p^i}, \ldots, X_n^{p^i})^p \pmod{p^{k+2-i}}.$$

In particular, the case $i = k$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} F(X_1, \ldots, X_n)^p &\equiv F_k(X_1^{p^k}, \ldots, X_n^{p^k})^p \pmod{p^2} \\ &\equiv F_k(X_1^{p^{k+1}}, \ldots, X_n^{p^{k+1}}) \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $F^p \in R_{k+1}$. By induction we get $F^{p^\ell} \in R_{k+\ell}$ for $\ell \geq 0$. □

Lemma 3.2 *Let $k, \ell \geq 0$ and let $F \in R_k$. Then for any $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n \in R_\ell$ we have $F(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n) \in R_{k+\ell}$.*

Proof: Since $F \in R_k$ we have

$$F(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} p^{k-i}\phi_i(X_1^{p^i}, \ldots, X_n^{p^i})$$

for some $\phi_i \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$. Since $\psi_j \in R_\ell$, by Lemma 3.1 we get $\psi_j^{p^i} \in R_{i+\ell}$. Since $R_{i+\ell}$ is a subring of $\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ it follows that $\phi_i(\psi_1^{p^i}, \ldots, \psi_n^{p^i}) \in R_{i+\ell}$. By Lemma 3.1 we get $p^{k-i}\phi_i(\psi_1^{p^i}, \ldots, \psi_n^{p^i}) \in R_{k+\ell}$. We conclude that $F(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n) \in R_{k+\ell}$. □

Proposition 3.3 *Let $w \geq 1$ and let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be a partition of w with at most n parts. For $j \geq 0$ let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^j = p^j \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Then $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^j} \in R_j$.*

Proof: We use induction on j. The case $j = 0$ is trivial. Let $j \geq 0$ and assume that $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^j} \in R_j$. Since $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{j+1} = p \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda}^j$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{j+1}}(X_1, \ldots, X_n) &= m_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^j}(X_1^p, \ldots, X_n^p) \\ &= \psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^j}(e_1(X_1^p, \ldots, X_n^p), \ldots, e_n(X_1^p, \ldots, X_n^p)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $X_j^p \in R_1$ it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$e_i(X_1^p, \ldots, X_n^p) = \theta_i(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$$

for some $\theta_i \in R_1$. Therefore

$$\psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{j+1}}(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = \psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^j}(\theta_1(X_1, \ldots, X_n), \ldots, \theta_n(X_1, \ldots, X_n)).$$

By Lemma 3.2 we get $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{j+1}} \in R_{j+1}$. □

Corollary 3.4 *Let $t \geq j \geq 0$, let $w' \geq 1$, and set $w = w'p^t$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}'$ be a partition of w' and set $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = p^t \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda}'$. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be a partition of w such that there does not exist a partition $\boldsymbol{\mu}'$ with $\boldsymbol{\mu} = p^{j+1} * \boldsymbol{\mu}'$. Then p^{t-j} divides $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. This holds in particular if $p^{j+1} \nmid |\boldsymbol{\mu}|$.*

Proof: Since $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ does not depend on n we may assume without loss of generality that $n \geq w$. It follows from this assumption that $|\boldsymbol{\lambda}| \leq n$, so by Proposition 3.3 we have $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \in R_t$. Since $w \leq n$ the parts of $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_h\}$ satisfy $\mu_i \leq n$ for $1 \leq i \leq h$. Therefore the formula for $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ given by Theorem 2.1 includes the term $d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\lambda}} X_{\mu_1} X_{\mu_2} \ldots X_{\mu_h}$. The assumption on $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ implies that $X_{\mu_1} X_{\mu_2} \ldots X_{\mu_h}$ is not a p^{j+1} power. Since $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \in R_t$ this implies that p^{t-j} divides $d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. Since $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} = d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ we get $p^{t-j} \mid d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. □

Proposition 3.5 *Let $w' \geq 1$, $j \geq 1$, and $t \geq 0$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}'$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}'$ be partitions of w' such that the parts of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}'$ are all divisible by p^t. Set $w = w'p^j$, so that $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = p^j \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda}'$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} = p^j * \boldsymbol{\mu}'$ are partitions of w. Then $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} \equiv d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'\boldsymbol{\mu}'} \pmod{p^{t+1}}$.*

Proof: As in the proof of Corollary 3.4 we may assume without loss of generality that $n \geq w'$. Then $|\boldsymbol{\lambda}'| = |\boldsymbol{\lambda}| \leq n$. It follows from Proposition 3.3 that $m_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'} = \psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ for some $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'} \in R_t$. Using induction on k we see that for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $k \geq 0$ we have

$$e_i(X_1^{p^j}, \ldots, X_n^{p^j})^{p^k} \equiv e_i(X_1, \ldots, X_n)^{p^{j+k}} \pmod{p^{k+1}}.$$

Since $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'} \in R_t$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(X_1, \ldots, X_n) &= m_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'}(X_1^{p^j}, \ldots, X_n^{p^j}) \\ &= \psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'}(e_1(X_1^{p^j}, \ldots, X_n^{p^j}), \ldots, e_n(X_1^{p^j}, \ldots, X_n^{p^j})) \\ &\equiv \psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'}(e_1(X_1, \ldots, X_n)^{p^j}, \ldots, e_n(X_1, \ldots, X_n)^{p^j}) \pmod{p^{t+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have $m_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$. Hence by the fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials we get

$$\psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \equiv \psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'}(X_1^{p^j}, \ldots, X_n^{p^j}) \pmod{p^{t+1}}.$$

Since $w' \leq n$ the parts of $\boldsymbol{\mu}'$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ are all $\leq n$. Therefore the formula for $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'}$ given by Theorem 2.1 includes the term $d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}'\boldsymbol{\lambda}'} X_{\mu'_1} X_{\mu'_2} \dots X_{\mu'_h}$, and the formula for $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ includes the term

$$d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\lambda}} X_{\mu_1} X_{\mu_2} \dots X_{\mu_{p^j h}} = d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\lambda}} X_{\mu'_1}^{p^j} X_{\mu'_2}^{p^j} \dots X_{\mu'_h}^{p^j}.$$

It follows that $d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \equiv d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}'\boldsymbol{\lambda}'} \pmod{p^{t+1}}$, and hence that $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} \equiv d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'\boldsymbol{\mu}'} \pmod{p^{t+1}}$. □

4 Containment

Let L/K be a totally ramified extension of degree $n = up^\nu$, with $p \nmid u$. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ be the K-embeddings of L into K^{sep}. Let $1 \leq h \leq n$ and recall that $E_h : L \to K$ is defined by $E_h(\alpha) = e_h(\sigma_1(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_n(\alpha))$ for $\alpha \in L$. In this section we define a function $\gamma_h : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $E_h(\mathcal{M}_L^r) \subset \mathcal{M}_K^{\gamma_h(r)}$. The function γ_h will be defined in terms of the indices of inseparability of the extension L/K. In the next section we show that $\mathcal{O}_K \cdot E_h(\mathcal{M}_L^r) = \mathcal{M}_K^{\gamma_h(r)}$ holds in certain cases.

Let π_L be a uniformizer for L and let

$$f(X) = X^n - c_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} c_{n-1} X + (-1)^n c_n$$

be the minimum polynomial of π_L over K. Then $c_h = E_h(\pi_L)$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $\overline{v}_p(k) = \min\{v_p(k), \nu\}$. For $0 \leq j \leq \nu$ set

$$\begin{aligned} i_j^{\pi_L} &= \min\{n v_K(c_h) - h : 1 \leq h \leq n,\ \overline{v}_p(h) \leq j\} \\ &= \min\{v_L(c_h \pi_L^{n-h}) : 1 \leq h \leq n,\ \overline{v}_p(h) \leq j\} - n. \end{aligned}$$

Then $i_j^{\pi_L}$ is either a nonnegative integer or ∞. If $\mathrm{char}(K) = p$ then $i_j^{\pi_L}$ must be finite, since L/K is separable. If $i_j^{\pi_L}$ is finite write $i_j^{\pi_L} = a_j n - b_j$ with $1 \leq b_j \leq n$. Then $v_K(c_{b_j}) = a_j$, $v_K(c_h) \geq a_j$ for all h with $1 \leq h < b_j$ and $\overline{v}_p(h) \leq j$, and $v_K(c_h) \geq a_j + 1$ for all h with $b_j < h \leq n$ and $\overline{v}_p(h) \leq j$. Let $e_L = v_L(p)$ denote the absolute ramification index of L. We define the jth index of inseparability of L/K to be

$$i_j = \min\{i_{j'}^{\pi_L} + (j' - j) e_L : j \leq j' \leq \nu\}.$$

By Proposition 3.12 and Theorem 7.1 of [4], i_j does not depend on the choice of π_L. Furthermore, our definition of i_j agrees with Definition 7.3 in [4] (see also [5, Remark 2.5]; for the characteristic-p case see [2, pp. 232–233] and [3, §2]).

The following facts are easy consequences of the definitions:

1. $0 = i_\nu < i_{\nu-1} \leq \dots \leq i_1 \leq i_0 < \infty$.
2. If $\mathrm{char}(K) = p$ then $e_L = \infty$, and hence $i_j = i_j^{\pi_L}$.
3. Let $m = \overline{v}_p(i_j)$. If $m \leq j$ then $i_j = i_m = i_j^{\pi_L} = i_m^{\pi_L}$. If $m > j$ then $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$ and $i_j = i_m^{\pi_L} + (m - j) e_L$.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $1 \le h \le n$ and set $j = \overline{v}_p(h)$. Then $v_L(c_h) \ge i_j^{\pi_L} + h$, with equality if and only if either $i_j^{\pi_L} = \infty$ or $i_j^{\pi_L} < \infty$ and $h = b_j$.*

Proof: If $i_j^{\pi_L} = \infty$ then we certainly have $v_L(c_h) = \infty$. Suppose $i_j^{\pi_L} < \infty$. If $b_j < h \le n$ then $v_L(c_h) = nv_K(c_h) \ge n(a_j + 1)$, and hence

$$v_L(c_h) \ge na_j + n > na_j - b_j + h = i_j^{\pi_L} + h.$$

If $1 \le h < b_j$ then

$$v_L(c_h) \ge na_j > na_j - b_j + h = i_j^{\pi_L} + h.$$

Finally, we observe that $v_L(c_{b_j}) = na_j = i_j^{\pi_L} + b_j$. □

For a partition $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}$ whose parts satisfy $\lambda_i \le n$ for $1 \le i \le k$ define $c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = c_{\lambda_1} c_{\lambda_2} \ldots c_{\lambda_k}$.

Proposition 4.2 *Let $w \ge 1$ and let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}$ be a partition of w whose parts satisfy $\lambda_i \le n$. Choose q to minimize $\overline{v}_p(\lambda_q)$ and set $t = \overline{v}_p(\lambda_q)$. Then $v_L(c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \ge i_t^{\pi_L} + w$. If $v_L(c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = i_t^{\pi_L} + w$ and $i_t^{\pi_L} < \infty$ then $\lambda_q = b_t$ and $\lambda_i = b_\nu = n$ for all $i \ne q$.*

Proof: If $i_t^{\pi_L} = \infty$ then $v_L(c_{\lambda_q}) = \infty$, and hence $v_L(c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = \infty$. Suppose $i_t^{\pi_L} < \infty$. By Lemma 4.1 we have $v_L(c_{\lambda_q}) \ge i_t^{\pi_L} + \lambda_q$, and $v_L(c_{\lambda_i}) \ge \lambda_i$ for $i \ne q$. Hence $v_L(c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \ge i_t^{\pi_L} + w$, with equality if and only if $v_L(c_{\lambda_q}) = i_t^{\pi_L} + \lambda_q$ and $v_L(c_{\lambda_i}) = \lambda_i$ for $i \ne q$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that these conditions hold if and only if $\lambda_q = b_t$ and $\lambda_i = b_\nu$ for all $i \ne q$. □

Proposition 4.3 *Let $w \ge 1$, let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be a partition of w with $h \le n$ parts, and set $j = \overline{v}_p(h)$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}$ be a partition of w whose parts satisfy $\lambda_i \le n$, choose q to minimize $\overline{v}_p(\lambda_q)$, and set $t = \overline{v}_p(\lambda_q)$. Then*

(a) $v_L(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \ge i_j + w$.

(b) Suppose $v_L(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = i_j + w$. Then $i_t^{\pi_L}$ is finite, $\lambda_q = b_t$, and $\lambda_i = n$ for all $i \ne q$.

Proof: (a) Suppose $t \ge j$. Then by Corollary 3.4 we have $\overline{v}_p(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}) \ge t - j$. Hence by Proposition 4.2 we get

$$v_L(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \ge (t - j)e_L + i_t^{\pi_L} + w \ge i_j + w.$$

Suppose $t < j$. Using Proposition 4.2 we get

$$v_L(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \ge v_L(c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \ge i_t^{\pi_L} + w \ge i_t + w \ge i_j + w.$$

(b) If $v_L(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = i_j + w$ then all the inequalities above are equalities. In either case it follows that $i_t^{\pi_L}$ is finite and $v_L(c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = i_t^{\pi_L} + w$. Therefore by Proposition 4.2 we get $\lambda_q = b_t$ and $\lambda_i = n$ for all $i \ne q$. □

We now apply some of the results of section 2 to our field extension L/K. For $\alpha \in L$ let $M_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\alpha) = m_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\sigma_1(\alpha), \ldots, \sigma_n(\alpha))$.

Proposition 4.4 *Let $r \geq 1$ and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_L^r$. Choose a power series*

$$\phi(X) = a_r X^r + a_{r+1} X^{r+1} + \dots$$

with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\alpha = \phi(\pi_L)$. Then

$$E_h(\alpha) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} a_{\mu_1} a_{\mu_2} \dots a_{\mu_h} M_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\pi_L),$$

where the sum ranges over all partitions $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_h\}$ with h parts such that $\mu_i \geq r$ for $1 \leq i \leq h$.

Proof: This follows from (2.1) by setting $X_i = \sigma_i(\pi_L)$ and letting $a_j \in \mathcal{O}_K$. □

Proposition 4.5 *Let $n \geq 1$, let $w \geq 1$, and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be a partition of w with at most n parts. Then*

$$M_\mu(\pi_L) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}},$$

where the sum is over all partitions $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ of w such that $\lambda_i \leq n$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Proof: This follows from Theorem 2.1 by setting $X_i = E_i(\pi_L) = c_i$. □

Let $1 \leq h \leq n$ and recall that we defined $g_h : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ by setting $g_h(r) = s$, where s is the largest integer such that $E_h(\mathcal{M}_L^r) \subset \mathcal{M}_K^s$.

Theorem 4.6 *Let L/K be a totally ramified extension of degree $n = up^\nu$, with $p \nmid u$. Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $1 \leq h \leq n$, and set $j = \overline{v}_p(h)$. Then*

$$E_h(\mathcal{M}_L^r) \subset \mathcal{M}_K^{\lceil (i_j + hr)/n \rceil}$$
$$g_h(r) \geq \left\lceil \frac{i_j + hr}{n} \right\rceil.$$

Proof: Let π_K be a uniformizer for K. Then for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$E_h(\mathcal{M}_L^{nt+r}) = E_h(\pi_K^t \cdot \mathcal{M}_L^r) = \pi_K^{ht} \cdot E_h(\mathcal{M}_L^r) \tag{4.1}$$
$$\left\lceil \frac{i_j + h(nt+r)}{n} \right\rceil = ht + \left\lceil \frac{i_j + hr}{n} \right\rceil. \tag{4.2}$$

Therefore it suffices to prove the theorem in the cases with $1 \leq r \leq n$. By Proposition 4.4 each element of $E_h(\mathcal{M}_L^r)$ is an $\mathcal{O}_K$-linear combination of terms of the form $M_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\pi_L)$, where $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is a partition with h parts, all $\geq r$. Fix one such partition $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and set $w = \Sigma(\boldsymbol{\mu})$; then $w \geq hr$. Using Proposition 4.5 we can express $M_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\pi_L)$ as a sum of terms $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$, where $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ is a partition of w into parts which are $\leq n$. By Proposition 4.3(a) we get $v_L(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \geq i_j + w \geq i_j + hr$. Since $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \in K$ it follows that $v_K(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \geq \lceil (i_j + hr)/n \rceil$. Therefore we have $v_K(M_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\pi_L)) \geq \lceil (i_j + hr)/n \rceil$, and hence $E_h(\mathcal{M}_L^r) \subset \mathcal{M}_K^{\lceil (i_j + hr)/n \rceil}$. □

5 Equality

In this section we show that in some special cases we have $\mathcal{O}_K \cdot E_h(\mathcal{M}_L^r) = \mathcal{M}_K^{\lceil (i_j+hr)/n \rceil}$, where $j = \overline{v}_p(h)$. This is equivalent to showing that $g_h(r) = \lceil (i_j + hr)/n \rceil$ holds in these cases. In particular, we prove that if the residue field $\overline{K}$ of K is large enough then $g_{p^j}(r) = \lceil (i_j + rp^j)/n \rceil$ for $0 \le j \le \nu$. To prove that $g_h(r) = \lceil (i_j + hr)/n \rceil$ holds for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, by Theorem 4.6 it suffices to show the following: Let r satisfy

$$\left\lceil \frac{i_j + hr}{n} \right\rceil < \left\lceil \frac{i_j + h(r+1)}{n} \right\rceil. \tag{5.1}$$

Then there is $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_L^r$ such that $v_K(E_h(\alpha)) = \lceil (i_j + hr)/n \rceil$. By (4.1) and (4.2) it's enough to prove this for r such that $1 \le r \le n$.

Once again we let π_L be a uniformizer for L whose minimum polynomial over K is

$$f(X) = X^n - c_1 X^{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} c_{n-1} X + (-1)^n c_n.$$

Theorem 5.1 *Let L/K be a totally ramified extension of degree $n = up^\nu$, with $p \nmid u$. Let j be an integer such that $0 \le j \le \nu$ and $\overline{v}_p(i_j) \ge j$. Then*

$$\mathcal{O}_K \cdot E_{p^j}(\mathcal{M}_L^r) = \mathcal{M}_K^{\lceil (i_j + rp^j)/n \rceil}$$
$$g_{p^j}(r) = \left\lceil \frac{i_j + rp^j}{n} \right\rceil.$$

Proof: Set $m = \overline{v}_p(i_j)$. Then $i_j = (m-j)e_L + i_m^{\pi_L}$. In particular, if $\mathrm{char}(K) = p$ then $m = j$ and $i_j = i_m = i_m^{\pi_L}$. We can write $i_m^{\pi_L} = an - b$ with $1 \le b \le n$ and $\overline{v}_p(b) = m$. Since $j \le m$ there is $b' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $b = b'p^j$. Let $r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and set $r = b' + r_1 up^{\nu - j}$. Then

$$i_j + rp^j = (m-j)e_L + an + r_1 n. \tag{5.2}$$

Therefore we have

$$\left\lceil \frac{i_j + rp^j}{n} \right\rceil = (m-j)e_K + a + r_1$$
$$\left\lceil \frac{i_j + (r+1)p^j}{n} \right\rceil = (m-j)e_K + a + r_1 + 1,$$

with $e_K = v_K(p) = e_L/n$. It follows that the only values of r in the range $1 \le r \le n$ satisfying (5.1) are of the form $r = b' + r_1 up^{\nu-j}$ with $0 \le r_1 < p^j$. Therefore it suffices to prove that $v_K(E_{p^j}(\pi_L^r)) = (m-j)e_K + a + r_1$ holds for these values of r.

Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be the partition of rp^j consisting of p^j copies of r. Then $E_{p^j}(\pi_L^r) = M_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\pi_L)$, so it follows from Proposition 4.5 that

$$E_{p^j}(\pi_L^r) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \tag{5.3}$$

where the sum is over all partitions $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}$ of rp^j such that $\lambda_i \leq n$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. It follows from Proposition 4.3(a) that $v_L(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \geq i_j + rp^j$. Suppose $v_L(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = i_j + rp^j$. Then by Proposition 4.3(b) we see that $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ has at most one element which is not equal to n. Since $\Sigma(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = rp^j = b + r_1 n$, and the elements of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ are $\leq n$, it follows that $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\kappa}$, where $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ is the partition of rp^j which consists of 1 copy of b and r_1 copies of n. Since $E_{p^j}(\pi_L^r) \in K$ and $d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} \in K$ it follows from (5.3) and (5.2) that

$$E_{p^j}(\pi_L^r) \equiv d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{(m-j)e_K + a + r_1 + 1}}. \tag{5.4}$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\kappa}'$ be the partition of r consisting of 1 copy of b' and r_1 copies of $up^{\nu-j}$, and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}'$ be the partition of r consisting of 1 copy of r. Then $\boldsymbol{\kappa} = p^j \cdot \boldsymbol{\kappa}'$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} = p^j * \boldsymbol{\mu}'$. Since $v_p(b') = m - j$ it follows from Proposition 3.5 that $d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}\boldsymbol{\mu}} \equiv d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}'\boldsymbol{\mu}'} \pmod{p^{m-j+1}}$. Suppose $m < \nu$. Then $b < n$, so $b' \neq up^{\nu-j}$. Hence by Proposition 2.4 we get $d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}'\boldsymbol{\mu}'} = (-1)^{r+r_1+1} r$. Since $r = b' + r_1 up^{\nu-j}$ and $v_p(b') = m - j$ this implies $v_p(d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}'\boldsymbol{\mu}'}) = v_p(r) = m - j$. Suppose $m = \nu$. Then $b = n$ and $b' = p^{-j} b = up^{\nu-j}$, so $\boldsymbol{\kappa}'$ consists of $r_1 + 1$ copies of $up^{\nu-j}$. Since $\gcd(up^{\nu-j}, r) = up^{\nu-j}$ and $\gcd(r_1 + 1, 1) = 1$, by Proposition 2.6 we get $d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}'\boldsymbol{\mu}'} = (-1)^{r+r_1+1} up^{\nu-j}$. Hence $v_p(d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}'\boldsymbol{\mu}'}) = \nu - j = m - j$ holds in this case as well. Since $d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}\boldsymbol{\mu}} \equiv d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}'\boldsymbol{\mu}'} \pmod{p^{m-j+1}}$ it follows that $v_p(d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}'\boldsymbol{\mu}'}) = m - j$. Therefore $v_K(d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}) = (m-j)e_K + a + r_1$. Using (5.4) we conclude that $v_K(E_{p^j}(\pi_L^r)) = (m-j)e_K + a + r_1$. □

Theorem 5.2 *Let L/K be a totally ramified extension of degree $n = up^\nu$, with $p \nmid u$. Let j be an integer such that $0 \leq j \leq \nu$ and $\overline{v}_p(i_j) < j$. Set $m = \overline{v}_p(i_j)$ and assume that $|\overline{K}| > p^m$. Then*

$$\mathcal{O}_K \cdot E_{p^j}(\mathcal{M}_L^r) = \mathcal{M}_K^{\lceil (i_j + rp^j)/n \rceil}$$
$$g_{p^j}(r) = \left\lceil \frac{i_j + rp^j}{n} \right\rceil.$$

Proof: Since $m < j$ we have $i_m = i_j = i_j^{\pi_L}$. Therefore $i_j = an - b$ for some a, b such that $1 \leq b < n$ and $\overline{v}_p(b) = m$. Hence $b = b' p^j + b'' p^m$ for some b', b'' such that $0 < b'' < p^{j-m}$ and $p \nmid b''$. Let $r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and set $r = b' + r_1 up^{\nu-j}$. Then

$$i_j + rp^j = an + r_1 n - b'' p^m, \tag{5.5}$$

so we have

$$\left\lceil \frac{i_j + rp^j}{n} \right\rceil = a + r_1 + \left\lceil \frac{-b'' p^m}{n} \right\rceil = a + r_1$$
$$\left\lceil \frac{i_j + (r+1)p^j}{n} \right\rceil = a + r_1 + \left\lceil \frac{p^j - b'' p^m}{n} \right\rceil = a + r_1 + 1.$$

It follows that the only values of r in the range $1 \leq r \leq n$ satisfying (5.1) are of the form $r = b' + r_1 up^{\nu-j}$ with $0 \leq r_1 < p^j$. It suffices to prove that for every such r there is $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $v_K(E_{p^j}(\pi_L^r + \beta \pi_L^{r+b''})) = a + r_1$.

Let $\eta(X) = E_{p^j}(\pi_L^r + X\pi_L^{r+b''})$. We need to show that there is $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $v_K(\eta(\beta)) = a + r_1$. It follows from Proposition 4.4 that $\eta(X)$ is a polynomial in X of degree at most p^j, with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_K$. For $0 \le \ell \le p^j$ let $\boldsymbol{\mu}^\ell$ be the partition of $rp^j + \ell b''$ consisting of $p^j - \ell$ copies of r and ℓ copies of $r + b''$. By Proposition 4.4 the coefficient of X^ℓ in $\eta(X)$ is equal to $M_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^\ell}(\pi_L)$. By Proposition 4.5 we have

$$M_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^\ell}(\pi_L) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}^\ell} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \tag{5.6}$$

where the sum is over all partitions $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ of $rp^j + \ell b''$ such that $\lambda_i \le n$ for $1 \le i \le k$. Using Proposition 4.3(a) and (5.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} v_L(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}^\ell} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) &\ge i_j + rp^j + \ell b'' \\ &= (a + r_1)n + (\ell - p^m)b'' \\ &> (a + r_1 - 1)n. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Since $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}^\ell} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \in K$ it follows that $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}^\ell} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \in \mathcal{M}_K^{a+r_1}$. Therefore by Proposition 4.5 we have $M_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^\ell}(\pi_L) \in \mathcal{M}_K^{a+r_1}$.

Suppose $v_K(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}^\ell} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = a + r_1$. Then $v_L(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}^\ell} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = (a + r_1)n$, so by (5.7) we get $\ell \le p^m$. Hence for $p^m < \ell \le p^j$ we have $M_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^\ell}(\pi_L) \in \mathcal{M}_K^{a+r_1+1}$. Let $w = b + r_1 n = rp^j + b''p^m$ and let $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\mu}^{p^m}$ be the partition of w consisting of p^m copies of $r + b''$ and $p^j - p^m$ copies of r. Then the coefficient of X^{p^m} in $\eta(X)$ is $M_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\pi_L)$. Let $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ be the partition of w consisting of 1 copy of b and r_1 copies of n. Suppose $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is a partition of w with parts $\le n$ such that $v_K(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = a + r_1$. Since $(a + r_1)n = i_j + w$ it follows from Proposition 4.3(b) that $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ has at most one element which is not equal to n. Since $\Sigma(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = b + r_1 n$, and the elements of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ are $\le n$, it follows that $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\kappa}$. Hence by (5.6) we have

$$M_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\pi_L) \equiv d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{a+r_1+1}}. \tag{5.8}$$

Set $w' = b'p^{j-m} + b'' + r_1 up^{\nu-m} = rp^{j-m} + b''$. Let $\boldsymbol{\kappa}'$ be the partition of w' consisting of 1 copy of $b'p^{j-m} + b''$ and r_1 copies of $up^{\nu-m}$, and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}'$ be the partition of w' consisting of 1 copy of $r + b''$ and $p^{j-m} - 1$ copies of r. Then $\boldsymbol{\kappa} = p^m \cdot \boldsymbol{\kappa}'$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} = p^m * \boldsymbol{\mu}'$, so by Proposition 3.5 we have $d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}\boldsymbol{\mu}} \equiv d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}'\boldsymbol{\mu}'} \pmod{p}$. Let Γ be a cycle digraph which has an admissible $(\boldsymbol{\kappa}', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$-tiling. Suppose Γ has more than one component. Since Γ has a $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$-tiling, Γ has at least one component Γ_0 such that $up^{\nu-m}$ divides $|V(\Gamma_0)|$. Thus $|V(\Gamma_0)| = k \cdot up^{\nu-m}$ for some k such that $1 \le k \le r_1$. Let $\boldsymbol{\kappa}'_0$ be the submultiset of $\boldsymbol{\kappa}'$ consisting of k copies of $up^{\nu-m}$. Then $\boldsymbol{\kappa}'_0$ is the unique submultiset of $\boldsymbol{\kappa}'$ such that Γ_0 has a $\boldsymbol{\kappa}'_0$-tiling. Furthermore there is a unique submultiset $\boldsymbol{\mu}'_0$ of $\boldsymbol{\mu}'$ such that Γ_0 has a $\boldsymbol{\mu}'_0$-tiling.

Suppose r does not divide $kup^{\nu-m}$. Then there is $\ell \ge 0$ such that $\boldsymbol{\mu}'_0$ consists of 1 copy of $r + b''$ together with ℓ copies of r. By Proposition 2.3 we have $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}'_0\boldsymbol{\mu}'_0}(\Gamma_0) = up^{\nu-m}$. Let Γ_1 be the complement of Γ_0 in Γ, let $\boldsymbol{\kappa}'_1 = \boldsymbol{\kappa}' \setminus \boldsymbol{\kappa}'_0$, and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}'_1 = \boldsymbol{\mu}' \setminus \boldsymbol{\mu}'_0$. Since Γ_1 has no cycle of length $|V(\Gamma_0)| = b'' + (\ell + 1)r$ we have $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}'\boldsymbol{\mu}'}(\Gamma) = \eta_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}'_0\boldsymbol{\mu}'_0}(\Gamma_0)\eta_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}'_1\boldsymbol{\mu}'_1}(\Gamma_1)$. Hence $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}'\boldsymbol{\mu}'}(\Gamma)$ is divisible by p in this case.

On the other hand, suppose r divides $kup^{\nu-m}$. Then there is $\ell \geq 1$ such that $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0'$ consists of ℓ copies of r. It follows that $k \cdot up^{\nu-m} = \ell \cdot r$. Let (S,T) be an admissible $(\boldsymbol{\kappa}', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$-tiling of Γ and let (S_0, T_0) be the restriction of (S,T) to Γ_0. Then (S_0, T_0) is a $(\boldsymbol{\kappa}_0', \boldsymbol{\mu}_0')$-tiling of Γ_0. By Proposition 2.5(b) the automorphism group of (Γ_0, S_0, T_0) has order $\gcd(k,\ell)$. Since $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_0, S_0, T_0)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma, S, T)$, it follows that $\gcd(k,\ell)$ divides $|\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma, S, T)|$. Therefore the assumption that (S,T) is admissible implies that $\gcd(k,\ell) = 1$. Hence $k \mid r$ and $\ell \mid up^{\nu-m}$, so there is $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $r = kq$ and $up^{\nu-m} = \ell q$. By Proposition 2.5(a) the number of isomorphism classes of $(\boldsymbol{\kappa}_0', \boldsymbol{\mu}_0')$-tilings of Γ_0 is

$$\eta_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_0'\boldsymbol{\mu}_0'}(\Gamma_0) = \gcd(up^{\nu-m}, r) = \gcd(\ell q, kq) = q.$$

If $p \mid q$ then as above we deduce that $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}'\boldsymbol{\mu}'}(\Gamma)$ is divisible by p. On the other hand, if $p \nmid q$ then $q \mid u$; in particular, $q \leq u$. Since $k \leq r_1$ we get $r_1 up^{\nu-j} + b' = r = kq \leq r_1 u$, a contradiction. By combining the two cases we find that if Γ has more than one component then $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}'\boldsymbol{\mu}'}(\Gamma)$ is divisible by p.

Finally, suppose that Γ consists of a single cycle of length w'. Then by Proposition 2.2 we have $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}',\boldsymbol{\mu}'}(\Gamma) = w'$. Hence by (2.2) we get

$$d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}\boldsymbol{\mu}} \equiv d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}'\boldsymbol{\mu}'} \equiv \pm\eta_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}'\boldsymbol{\mu}'}(\Gamma) \equiv \pm w' \pmod{p}.$$

Since $w' \equiv b'' \pmod{p}$ it follows that $p \nmid d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. Hence by (5.8) we get

$$v_K(M_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\pi_L)) = v_K(c_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}) = a + r_1.$$

Let π_K be a uniformizer for K and set $\phi(X) = \pi_K^{-a-r_1}\eta(X)$. Then $\phi(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[X]$. Let $\overline{\phi}(X)$ be the image of $\phi(X)$ in $\overline{K}[X]$. We have shown that $\overline{\phi}(X)$ has degree p^m. Since $|\overline{K}| > p^m$ there is $\overline{\beta} \in \overline{K}$ such that $\overline{\phi}(\overline{\beta}) \neq 0$. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ be a lifting of $\overline{\beta}$. Then $\phi(\beta) \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$. It follows that

$$v_K(E_{p^j}(\pi_L^r + \beta\pi_L^{r+b''})) = v_K(\eta(\beta)) = a + r_1.$$

We conclude that $\mathcal{O}_K \cdot E_{p^j}(\mathcal{M}_L^r) = \mathcal{M}_K^{\lceil (i_j + rp^j)/n \rceil}$. □

Remark 5.3 Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 together imply that if $\overline{K}$ is sufficiently large then $g_{p^j}(r) = \lceil (i_j + rp^j)/n \rceil$ for $0 \leq j \leq \nu$. This holds for instance if $|\overline{K}| \geq p^\nu$.

Remark 5.4 Let L/K be a totally ramified separable extension of degree $n = up^\nu$. The different $\mathcal{M}_L^{d_0}$ of L/K is defined by letting d_0 be the largest integer such that $E_1(\mathcal{M}_L^{-d_0}) \subset \mathcal{O}_K$. For $1 \leq j \leq \nu$ one can define higher order analogs $\mathcal{M}_L^{d_j}$ of the different by letting d_j be the largest integer such that $E_{p^j}(\mathcal{M}_L^{-d_j}) \subset \mathcal{O}_K$. An argument similar to the proof of Proposition 1.2 shows that

$$\mathcal{O}_K \cdot E_{p^j}(\mathcal{M}_L^r) = \mathcal{M}_K^{\lfloor p^j(d_j + r)/n \rfloor}.$$

This generalizes Proposition 1.2, which is equivalent to the case $j = 0$ of this formula. By Proposition 3.18 of [4], the valuation of the different of L/K is $d_0 = i_0 + n - 1$. Using

Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 we find that, if $\overline{K}$ is sufficiently large, d_j is the largest integer such that $\lceil (i_j - d_j p^j)/n \rceil \geq 0$. Hence $d_j = \lfloor (i_j + n - 1)/p^j \rfloor$ for $0 \leq j \leq \nu$.

Example 5.5 Let $K = \mathbb{F}_2((t))$ and let L be an extension of K generated by a root π_L of the Eisenstein polynomial $f(X) = X^8 + tX^3 + tX^2 + t$. Then the indices of inseparability of L/K are $i_0 = 3$, $i_1 = i_2 = 2$, and $i_3 = 0$. Since $\lceil (i_2 + 2^2 \cdot 1)/2^3 \rceil = 1$, the formula in Theorem 5.2 would imply $\mathcal{O}_K \cdot E_4(\mathcal{M}_L^1) = \mathcal{M}_K^1$. We claim that $E_4(\mathcal{M}_L) \subset \mathcal{M}_K^2$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_L$ and write $\alpha = a_1 \pi_L + a_2 \pi_L^2 + \ldots$, with $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$. It follows from Propositions 4.4 and 4.5 that $E_4(\alpha)$ is a sum of terms of the form $a_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$, where $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is a partition whose parts are ≤ 8 and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is a partition with 4 parts such that $\Sigma(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \Sigma(\boldsymbol{\mu})$. We are interested only in those terms with K-valuation 1. We have $v_K(c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \geq 2$ unless $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is one of $\{5\}$, $\{6\}$, or $\{8\}$. If $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{8\}$ then $2 \mid d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ for any $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ by Corollary 3.4. If $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{6\}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \{1, 1, 1, 3\}$ then $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} = 6$ by Proposition 2.4. If $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{6\}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \{1, 1, 2, 2\}$ then a computation based on (2.2) shows that $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} = 9$. If $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{5\}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \{1, 1, 1, 2\}$ then $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} = -5$ by Proposition 2.4. Combining these facts we get

$$E_4(\alpha) \equiv a_1^3 a_2 t + a_1^2 a_2^2 t \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^2}.$$

Since $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2$ we have $a_1^3 a_2 + a_1^2 a_2^2 = 0$. Therefore $E_4(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_K^2$. Since this holds for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_L$ we get $E_4(\mathcal{M}_L) \subset \mathcal{M}_K^2$. This shows that Theorem 5.2 does not hold without the assumption about the size of $\overline{K}$.

The following result shows that $g_h(r) = \lceil (i_j + hr)/n \rceil$ does not hold in general, even if we assume that the residue field of K is large. It also suggests that there may not be a simple criterion for determining when $g_h(r) = \lceil (i_j + hr)/n \rceil$ does hold.

Proposition 5.6 *Let L/K be a totally ramified extension of degree n, with $p \nmid n$. Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq h \leq n$ be such that $n \mid hr$. Set $s = hr/n$, $u = \gcd(r, n)$, and $v = \gcd(h, s)$. Then $g_h(r) = \lceil (i_0 + hr)/n \rceil = s$ if and only if p does not divide the binomial coefficient $\binom{u}{v}$. In particular, if $u < v$ then $g_h(r) > s$.*

Proof: Since L/K is tamely ramified we have $\nu = 0$, $i_0 = 0$, and

$$\left\lceil \frac{i_0 + hr}{n} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{hr}{n} \right\rceil = s.$$

It follows from Theorem 4.6 that $g_h(r) \geq s$. If $r' = nt + r$ then $s' = hr'/n = ht + s$, $u' = \gcd(r', n) = u$, and $v' = \gcd(h, s') = v$. Hence by (4.1) it suffices to prove the proposition in the cases with $1 \leq r \leq n$.

Suppose p does not divide $\binom{u}{v}$. To prove $g_h(r) = s$ it suffices to show that $v_K(E_h(\pi_L^r)) = s$. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be the partition of hr consisting of h copies of r. Then $E_h(\pi_L^r) = M_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\pi_L)$, so it follows from Proposition 4.5 that

$$E_h(\pi_L^r) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \tag{5.9}$$

where the sum is over all partitions $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}$ of hr such that $\lambda_i \leq n$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ be the partition of $hr = sn$ consisting of s copies of n and let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be a partition of hr whose parts are $\leq n$. Then by Proposition 4.3(a) we have $v_L(d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \geq hr = sn$. Furthermore, if $v_L(d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = hr$ then by Proposition 4.3(b) we have $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\kappa}$. Hence by (5.9) we get

$$E_h(\pi_L^r) \equiv d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{s+1}}.$$

By Proposition 2.6 we have $d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa\mu}} = \pm\binom{u}{v}$. Since $p \nmid \binom{u}{v}$ and $v_K(c_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}) = s$ it follows that $v_K(E_h(\pi_L^r)) = s$. Therefore $g_h(r) = s$.

Suppose p divides $\binom{u}{v}$. By Proposition 4.4, each element of $E_h(\mathcal{M}_L^r)$ is an $\mathcal{O}_K$-linear combination of terms of the form $M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\pi_L)$ where $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is a partition with h parts, all $\geq r$. Fix one such partition $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ and set $w = \Sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu})$; then $w \geq hr = sn$. By Proposition 4.5 we can express $M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\pi_L)$ as a sum of terms of the form $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda\nu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$, where $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k\}$ is a partition of w into parts which are $\leq n$. By Proposition 4.3(a) we have $v_L(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda\nu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \geq w \geq sn$. Suppose $v_L(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda\nu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = sn$. Then $w = sn$, and by Proposition 4.3(b) we see that $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ consists of k copies of n. It follows that $kn = w = sn$, and hence that $k = s$. Therefore $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\kappa}$. Since $\Sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = w = kn = hr$ we get $\boldsymbol{\nu} = \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Since $d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa\mu}} = \pm\binom{u}{v}$ and p divides $\binom{u}{v}$ we have $v_L(d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}) > v_L(c_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}) = sn$, a contradiction. Hence $v_L(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda\nu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) > sn$ holds in all cases. Since $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda\nu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \in K$ we get $v_K(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda\nu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \geq s + 1$. It follows that $E_h(\mathcal{M}_L^r) \subset \mathcal{M}_K^{s+1}$, and hence that $g_h(r) \geq s + 1$. □

References

[1] I. B. Fesenko and S. V. Vostokov, *Local fields and their extensions. A constructive approach*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[2] M. Fried, Arithmetical properties of function fields II, The generalized Schur problem, Acta Arith. **25** (1973/74), 225–258.

[3] M. Fried and A. Mézard, Configuration spaces for wildly ramified covers, appearing in *Arithmetic Fundamental Groups and Noncommutative Algebra*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **70** (2002), 353–376.

[4] V. Heiermann, De nouveaux invariants numériques pour les extensions totalement ramifiées de corps locaux, J. Number Theory **59** (1996), 159–202.

[5] K. Keating, Indices of inseparability in towers of field extensions, J. Number Theory **150** (2015), 81–97.

[6] A. Kulikauskas and J. Remmel, Lyndon words and transition matrices between elementary, homogeneous and monomial symmetric functions, Electronic J. Combinatorics **13** (2006), #R18.

[7] J.-P. Serre, *Corps Locaux*, Hermann, Paris (1962).